

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,018	10/31/2006	Andreas Rivas-Nass	034166.021	5318
25461 7590 04/06/2007 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II			EXAMINER	
			NAZARIO GONZALEZ, PORFIRIO	
1230 PEACHT ATLANTA, GA	REE STREET, N.E. 4 30307-3592		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/570,018	RIVAS-NASS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
,	action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-45</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-29 and 39-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 28 di/d 50 40</u> is/dio 10,0000d.						
	8) Claim(s) <u>30-36 istaile objected to.</u> 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	Cicolion requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Normation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Motice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) LJ Other:						

Art Unit: 1621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The language "carrying out said method with presence of a diene-bis-aquo-rhodium(I) complex" is confusing. This appears to imply that the diene-bis-aquo-rhodium(I) complex acts as a catalyst in the preparation of the heterogeneous catalyst. It is clear from the specification (page 9) that the complex is used as a precursor for the heterogeneous catalyst. Please clarify.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Harry et al., Inorganica Chimica Acta, Vol. 97, pp. 143-150 (1985), cited

Application/Control Number: 10/570,018

**Art Unit: 1621** 

by Applicants. The Harry et al. article discloses rhodium phosphine complexes. See Table I at page 144. See particularly the compound [Rh(COD)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]. Note that although the compound was prepared by a different method the compound is the same. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

- 6. Claims 20-29, 39, and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Hashmi et al., Adv. Synth. Catal., Vol. 345, 1237-1241 (2003), cited by Applicants. The Hashmi et al. article disclose the metal complex salt [(COD)Rh(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> and (R)-BINAP useful in the conversion (2Z)-(3-Pent-2-enyloxy-prop-1-ynyl)benzene to 3-Benzylidene-4-propenyltetrahydrofuran.
- 7. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 30-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Porfirio Nazario-Gonzalez whose telephone number is 571-272-0641. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ary Patent Examine

PNG

March 29, 2007